




		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	HINS			e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	lential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 1	1910	478	25.03%	207	10.84%	785	41.10%	391	20.47%	49	2.57%
Lake County	1910	478	25.03%	207	10.84%	785	41.10%	391	20.47%	49	2.57%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%
Jasper County	31	1	3.23%	2	6.45%	18	58.06%	10	32.26%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%
Laporte County	76	20	26.32%	6	7.89%	36	47.37%	12	15.79%	2	2.63%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%
Newton County	30	11	36.67%	6	20.00%	3	10.00%	10	33.33%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%
Porter County	273	53	19.41%	64	23.44%	120	43.96%	26	9.52%	10	3.66%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R			Relative Home		ome Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%
Pulaski County	32	16	50.00%	1	3.13%	13	40.63%	2	6.25%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								May 2008												
County	Total CHINS		Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her												
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%												
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%												
Region 2	508	112	22.05%	92	18.11%	218	42.91%	74	14.57%	12	2.36%												
Starke County	66	11	16.67%	13	19.70%	28	42.42%	14	21.21%	0	0.00%												









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 3	1368	380	27.78%	83	6.07%	677	49.49%	168	12.28%	60	4.39%
Elkhart County	443	119	26.86%	29	6.55%	225	50.79%	45	10.16%	25	5.64%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 3	1368	380	27.78%	83	6.07%	677	49.49%	168	12.28%	60	4.39%
Kosciusko County	47	20	42.55%	13	27.66%	13	27.66%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 3	1368	380	27.78%	83	6.07%	677	49.49%	168	12.28%	60	4.39%
Marshall County	104	30	28.85%	11	10.58%	49	47.12%	13	12.50%	1	0.96%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			e Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 3	1368	380	27.78%	83	6.07%	677	49.49%	168	12.28%	60	4.39%
Saint Joseph County	774	211	27.26%	30	3.88%	390	50.39%	109	14.08%	34	4.39%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






May 2008											
County	Total CHINS				e Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Adams County	48	19	39.58%	10	20.83%	10	20.83%	9	18.75%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Allen County	1265	408	32.25%	182	14.39%	512	40.47%	121	9.57%	42	3.32%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Dekalb County	93	15	16.13%	13	13.98%	50	53.76%	13	13.98%	2	2.15%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca	lential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Huntington County	29	12	41.38%	4	13.79%	9	31.03%	3	10.34%	1	3.45%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Ot	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
LaGrange County	63	22	34.92%	5	7.94%	14	22.22%	11	17.46%	11	17.46%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Noble County	102	21	20.59%	14	13.73%	53	51.96%	12	11.76%	2	1.96%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Steuben County	97	31	31.96%	2	2.06%	46	47.42%	13	13.40%	5	5.15%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Wells County	41	9	21.95%	2	4.88%	26	63.41%	3	7.32%	1	2.44%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 4	1752	539	30.76%	232	13.24%	731	41.72%	186	10.62%	64	3.65%
Whitley County	14	2	14.29%	0	0.00%	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Benton County	8	0	0.00%	4	50.00%	3	37.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			e Relative Home I		Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Clinton County	39	15	38.46%	5	12.82%	14	35.90%	2	5.13%	3	7.69%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Fountain County	42	1	2.38%	9	21.43%	29	69.05%	2	4.76%	1	2.38%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Tippecanoe County	240	81	33.75%	35	14.58%	83	34.58%	30	12.50%	11	4.58%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
Warren County	3	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 5	359	106	29.53%	56	15.60%	140	39.00%	41	11.42%	16	4.46%
White County	27	8	29.63%	3	11.11%	11	40.74%	4	14.81%	1	3.70%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 6	342	79	23.10%	34	9.94%	188	54.97%	33	9.65%	8	2.34%
Cass County	40	8	20.00%	3	7.50%	24	60.00%	1	2.50%	4	10.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster	Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 6	342	79	23.10%	34	9.94%	188	54.97%	33	9.65%	8	2.34%
Fulton County	48	6	12.50%	0	0.00%	31	64.58%	9	18.75%	2	4.17%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 6	342	79	23.10%	34	9.94%	188	54.97%	33	9.65%	8	2.34%
Howard County	117	26	22.22%	18	15.38%	63	53.85%	9	7.69%	1	0.85%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 6	342	79	23.10%	34	9.94%	188	54.97%	33	9.65%	8	2.34%
Miami County	69	21	30.43%	8	11.59%	29	42.03%	10	14.49%	1	1.45%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 6	342	79	23.10%	34	9.94%	188	54.97%	33	9.65%	8	2.34%
Wabash County	68	18	26.47%	5	7.35%	41	60.29%	4	5.88%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 7	589	189	32.09%	140	23.77%	190	32.26%	56	9.51%	14	2.38%
Blackford County	14	9	64.29%	0	0.00%	3	21.43%	2	14.29%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 7	589	189	32.09%	140	23.77%	190	32.26%	56	9.51%	14	2.38%
Delaware County	358	104	29.05%	107	29.89%	113	31.56%	30	8.38%	4	1.12%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 7	589	189	32.09%	140	23.77%	190	32.26%	56	9.51%	14	2.38%
Grant County	165	67	40.61%	22	13.33%	51	30.91%	16	9.70%	9	5.45%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 7	589	189	32.09%	140	23.77%	190	32.26%	56	9.51%	14	2.38%
Jay County	9	1	11.11%	4	44.44%	2	22.22%	2	22.22%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		Iome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 7	589	189	32.09%	140	23.77%	190	32.26%	56	9.51%	14	2.38%
Randolph County	43	8	18.60%	7	16.28%	21	48.84%	6	13.95%	1	2.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Clay County	1	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		Relative Home		Home Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Parke County	5	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Sullivan County	33	19	57.58%	3	9.09%	9	27.27%	0	0.00%	2	6.06%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Vermillion County	20	8	40.00%	1	5.00%	5	25.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		me Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 8	241	78	32.37%	25	10.37%	111	46.06%	23	9.54%	4	1.66%
Vigo County	182	50	27.47%	21	11.54%	94	51.65%	15	8.24%	2	1.10%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 9	421	179	42.52%	74	17.58%	115	27.32%	29	6.89%	24	5.70%
Boone County	98	64	65.31%	11	11.22%	13	13.27%	7	7.14%	3	3.06%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 9	421	179	42.52%	74	17.58%	115	27.32%	29	6.89%	24	5.70%
Hendricks County	97	37	38.14%	16	16.49%	29	29.90%	6	6.19%	9	9.28%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 9	421	179	42.52%	74	17.58%	115	27.32%	29	6.89%	24	5.70%
Montgomery County	124	39	31.45%	26	20.97%	42	33.87%	9	7.26%	8	6.45%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home R		ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 9	421	179	42.52%	74	17.58%	115	27.32%	29	6.89%	24	5.70%
Morgan County	69	21	30.43%	15	21.74%	29	42.03%	2	2.90%	2	2.90%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 9	421	179	42.52%	74	17.58%	115	27.32%	29	6.89%	24	5.70%
Putnam County	33	18	54.55%	6	18.18%	2	6.06%	5	15.15%	2	6.06%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 10	2926	575	19.65%	792	27.07%	1338	45.73%	154	5.26%	67	2.29%
Marion County	2926	575	19.65%	792	27.07%	1338	45.73%	154	5.26%	67	2.29%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 11	491	124	25.25%	113	23.01%	178	36.25%	52	10.59%	24	4.89%
Hamilton County	126	39	30.95%	37	29.37%	39	30.95%	6	4.76%	5	3.97%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Ho		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 11	491	124	25.25%	113	23.01%	178	36.25%	52	10.59%	24	4.89%
Hancock County	52	15	28.85%	12	23.08%	19	36.54%	6	11.54%	0	0.00%
















		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 11	491	124	25.25%	113	23.01%	178	36.25%	52	10.59%	24	4.89%
Madison County	299	63	21.07%	61	20.40%	119	39.80%	37	12.37%	19	6.35%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008				May 2008												
County	Total CHINS	Total Own Home CHINS			e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her								
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%								
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%								
Region 11	491	124	25.25%	113	23.01%	178	36.25%	52	10.59%	24	4.89%								
Tipton County	14	7	50.00%	3	21.43%	1	7.14%	3	21.43%	0	0.00%								









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Fayette County	63	23	36.51%	13	20.63%	23	36.51%	4	6.35%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Franklin County	25	14	56.00%	6	24.00%	4	16.00%	0	0.00%	1	4.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home I			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Henry County	128	56	43.75%	23	17.97%	36	28.13%	10	7.81%	3	2.34%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Rush County	35	3	8.57%	23	65.71%	5	14.29%	4	11.43%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Union County	17	5	29.41%	4	23.53%	6	35.29%	2	11.76%	0	0.00%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 12	370	129	34.86%	91	24.59%	112	30.27%	34	9.19%	4	1.08%
Wayne County	102	28	27.45%	22	21.57%	38	37.25%	14	13.73%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 13	342	103	30.12%	44	12.87%	159	46.49%	23	6.73%	13	3.80%
Brown County	19	4	21.05%	13	68.42%	1	5.26%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 13	342	103	30.12%	44	12.87%	159	46.49%	23	6.73%	13	3.80%
Greene County	64	19	29.69%	7	10.94%	33	51.56%	5	7.81%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 13	342	103	30.12%	44	12.87%	159	46.49%	23	6.73%	13	3.80%
Lawrence County	45	10	22.22%	0	0.00%	29	64.44%	3	6.67%	3	6.67%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 13	342	103	30.12%	44	12.87%	159	46.49%	23	6.73%	13	3.80%
Monroe County	153	44	28.76%	19	12.42%	74	48.37%	14	9.15%	2	1.31%
















		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 13	342	103	30.12%	44	12.87%	159	46.49%	23	6.73%	13	3.80%
Owen County	61	26	42.62%	5	8.20%	22	36.07%	0	0.00%	8	13.11%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 14	529	158	29.87%	69	13.04%	224	42.34%	54	10.21%	24	4.54%
Bartholomew County	165	45	27.27%	8	4.85%	81	49.09%	23	13.94%	8	4.85%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 14	529	158	29.87%	69	13.04%	224	42.34%	54	10.21%	24	4.54%
Jackson County	123	34	27.64%	26	21.14%	51	41.46%	5	4.07%	7	5.69%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 14	529	158	29.87%	69	13.04%	224	42.34%	54	10.21%	24	4.54%
Jennings County	107	40	37.38%	16	14.95%	33	30.84%	18	16.82%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 14	529	158	29.87%	69	13.04%	224	42.34%	54	10.21%	24	4.54%
Johnson County	80	9	11.25%	8	10.00%	49	61.25%	7	8.75%	7	8.75%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 14	529	158	29.87%	69	13.04%	224	42.34%	54	10.21%	24	4.54%
Shelby County	54	30	55.56%	11	20.37%	10	18.52%	1	1.85%	2	3.70%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Dearborn County	76	17	22.37%	15	19.74%	39	51.32%	5	6.58%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Decatur County	84	37	44.05%	22	26.19%	16	19.05%	9	10.71%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Jefferson County	95	40	42.11%	10	10.53%	19	20.00%	22	23.16%	4	4.21%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	ner
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Ohio County	12	3	25.00%	1	8.33%	4	33.33%	3	25.00%	1	8.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Ripley County	84	32	38.10%	12	14.29%	35	41.67%	4	4.76%	1	1.19%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		ome Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 15	382	141	36.91%	63	16.49%	123	32.20%	48	12.57%	7	1.83%
Switzerland County	31	12	38.71%	3	9.68%	10	32.26%	5	16.13%	1	3.23%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Gibson County	122	39	31.97%	40	32.79%	36	29.51%	4	3.28%	3	2.46%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Knox County	130	23	17.69%	27	20.77%	51	39.23%	21	16.15%	8	6.15%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Pike County	32	15	46.88%	2	6.25%	11	34.38%	1	3.13%	3	9.38%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Posey County	41	10	24.39%	12	29.27%	15	36.59%	4	9.76%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Vanderburgh County	430	119	27.67%	85	19.77%	179	41.63%	31	7.21%	16	3.72%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 16	842	238	28.27%	180	21.38%	318	37.77%	73	8.67%	33	3.92%
Warrick County	87	32	36.78%	14	16.09%	26	29.89%	12	13.79%	3	3.45%
















		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%
Crawford County	62	10	16.13%	16	25.81%	23	37.10%	10	16.13%	3	4.84%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Relative Home		me Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%
Daviess County	47	12	25.53%	7	14.89%	18	38.30%	10	21.28%	0	0.00%









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%
Dubois County	31	6	19.35%	3	9.68%	20	64.52%	1	3.23%	1	3.23%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






		May	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%
Martin County	13	4	30.77%	4	30.77%	2	15.38%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Ho		e Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%	
Orange County	21	8	38.10%	3	14.29%	9	42.86%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%	
Perry County	66	13	19.70%	15	22.73%	30	45.45%	6	9.09%	2	3.03%	









Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Ho		ne Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 17	255	59	23.14%	54	21.18%	104	40.78%	32	12.55%	6	2.35%	
Spencer County	15	6	40.00%	6	40.00%	2	13.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Ho		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 18	597	219	36.68%	107	17.92%	198	33.17%	62	10.39%	11	1.84%	
Clark County	265	98	36.98%	45	16.98%	92	34.72%	23	8.68%	7	2.64%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Ho		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 18	597	219	36.68%	107	17.92%	198	33.17%	62	10.39%	11	1.84%	
Floyd County	60	23	38.33%	3	5.00%	25	41.67%	7	11.67%	2	3.33%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		ome Relative Ho		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 18	597	219	36.68%	107	17.92%	198	33.17%	62	10.39%	11	1.84%	
Harrison County	88	28	31.82%	24	27.27%	16	18.18%	20	22.73%	0	0.00%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Hom		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 18	597	219	36.68%	107	17.92%	198	33.17%	62	10.39%	11	1.84%	
Scott County	134	57	42.54%	27	20.15%	41	30.60%	8	5.97%	1	0.75%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008






May 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative H		ome Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14224	3886	27.32%	2456	17.27%	5909	41.54%	1533	10.78%	440	3.09%	
Region 18	597	219	36.68%	107	17.92%	198	33.17%	62	10.39%	11	1.84%	
Washington County	50	13	26.00%	8	16.00%	24	48.00%	4	8.00%	1	2.00%	







Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis June 03 2008

